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CLAIM

The ornamental design for a single jaw interlocking support post, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top plan view of the single jaw interlocking support post, the bottom plan view being identical thereto.

FIG. 2 is a front elevation view thereof.

FIG. 3 is a right side elevation view the left side being identical thereto.

FIG. 4 is a rear elevation view thereof.

FIG. 5 is a bottom plan view of a second embodiment of the single jaw interlocking support post, the top plan view being identical thereto. The only difference being the addition of threads for screws to the facing surfaces.

FIG. 6 is a top, front perspective view of the single jaw interlocking support post in FIG. 1; and,

FIG. 7 is a top, front perspective view of the second embodiment.

The broken lines indicate indeterminate length.
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